

SCALAR AND VECTOR MIXINGS IN $SU(2) \times U(1)$ MODELS

M. Capdequi Peyranère

Laboratoire de Physique Mathématique et Théorique
CNRS- UMR 5825
Place Eugène Bataillon
34095 - Montpellier Cedex 05 (France)

Abstract

We study the possible mixings between gauge vector fields and scalar fields through their self-energies, arising in models with two Higgs doublets. We derive the relevant set of Schwinger-Dyson equations and the Ward identities that compel the longitudinal parts of the field propagators. Linear R_ξ gauge is used and the results are given at all orders in perturbative theory, and some particular aspects of the one loop case are stressed.

To appear in Int. J. Mod. Phys. A.

1 Introduction

The Standard Model (SM) is nowadays tremendously confirmed by many experimental results, even though there is not yet direct evidence for scalar Higgs particle. Alternative models like the two Higgs doublet model (THDM), especially the minimal supersymmetric standard model (MSSM) are extensively studied, experimentally and theoretically as well. It is now well settled that THDM suffer from large quantum effects, and one loop corrections (and beyond) are really important to improve the knowledge of such models (see for exemple¹ and references therein). Particle mixings through quantum loops are common features of THDM and SM and we will study some of them.

The mixing between the photon and the Z fields is well known and is inherent to the Glashow -Salam - Weinberg (GSW) model. More precisely , there is not only a mixing between the transverse parts of the propagators of the photon and the Z but also a mixing between the longitudinal parts of the photon and the Z propagators and the G^0 neutral Goldstone boson propagator. Moreover a mixing between the charged $W^\pm$ fields and the charged Goldstone $G^\pm$ exists as well.

Actually these mixings come from the $SU[2]*U[1]$ structure of the gauge group and the Higgs mechanism, so in any model with this gauge group and a symmetry breaking “a la Higgs” one gets such mixings. In the GSW model, the mixings were studied thoroughly by Baulieu and Coquereaux² . The aim of this paper is to extend their study to the THDM, where the Higgs scalar sector is richer. Since we focus on the mixings coming from the gauge group and the Higgs mechanism , our derivation is also valid for the MSSM, without any change. Actually , we will examine two mixings. The neutral set contains the photon , the Z , the Goldstone G_0 and the CP odd neutral Higgs scalar A_0 . In the charged set , there are the gauge field $W^\pm$, its associated Goldstone $G^\pm$ and the Higgs field $H^\pm$.

In order to keep the results as general as possible , we will use the BRS symmetry to find the constraints on the two point Green’s functions. Indeed the BRS transformations of the fields are due to the gauge structure and the gauge fixing term , which turn out to be the same in all the models we consider. For the same reason, we carry out a derivation valid at all orders in perturbation theory, that gives as a by-product the one loop results and shows the physical differences between first order and all orders.

For the sake of generality, because there is a large variety of possible sce-

narii, we don't at all study the renormalization of the two point functions, mixed or not mixed. Indeed, one can choose different renormalization conditions, one can renormalize before or after breaking of the $SU[2]*U[1]$ gauge symmetry, one can choose a renormalization scheme where the gauge vector mixing (photon-Z) is present or absent, and , in the case of the MSSM, the dimensional reduction could be more adapted than the dimensional regularization. Moreover, if one wishes that the constraints we derive using the BRS symmetry be respected before and after the renormalization procedure, it will be necessary to choose it in such a way the gauge fixing lagrangian be renormalization invariant, that it is always possible³ . Finally, we will only assume that the Green functions we use are regularized with a gauge invariant method.

This paper contains three sections. The first one is mainly devoted to display the tools we will use in the other sections. We begin by our notations that we wish natural and compact in such a way that the Schwinger -Dyson equations are comprehensive and clear. We also recall some details on the BRS transformations, useful to derive the constraints induced by the BRS symmetry on the various two point Green functions.

In the second section we study the charged case, where we have to take into account three fields, six two point functions and one constraint. It is in some sense a short introduction to the neutral case worked out in the third section, a much more complex case since we have to handle four fields , ten two point functions and three constraints.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Quantum numbers and mixings.

In the THDM (or MSSM), the C and P quantum numbers of the Higgs or Goldstone scalar fields and the vector fields are well known⁴. They are summarized in the following table:

field	C & P conserved	CP conserved
γ	--	--
Z	--	--; ++
G_0	+-	+-; -+
A_0	+-	+-; -+
H_0	++	++; --
h_0	++	++; --
$W^\pm$	-	-; +
$G^\pm$	+	+; -
$H^\pm$	+	+; -

The second column concerns the bosonic interactions when charge conjugation and spacial parity are separately conserved, while the third column includes the fermionic sector which is CP invariant. In the case of charged fields, C is not a good quantum number, so it has been discarded. If a neutral vector field V^μ has the 1^{PC} quantum number assignments, then its derivative $\partial_\mu V^\mu$ is a neutral scalar with the 0^{-PC} assignments. That allows some mixings between scalars and vectors via their longitudinal parts but also it forbids the mixing between the CP even Higgs scalar fields and the photon or the Z. This is the true reason why the Standard Model Higgs scalar field H (which has the same quantum numbers as the THDM h_0 and H_0) does not couple to the neutral vector gauge fields. In any case, one finds that the neutral mixing set contains the four first particles of this table and the charged set involves all the charged particles.

2.2 Notations

We now define our notations for the two point functions. They are slightly different from the notations of reference².

First we denote by L and T the longitudinal and transverse projectors :

$$L^{\mu\nu} = k^\mu k^\nu / k^2 \text{ and } T^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} - L^{\mu\nu} ,$$

in such a way that the free propagator of a gauge vector field reads

$$D^{\mu\nu} = -i(T^{\mu\nu}/(k^2 - m^2) + \xi L^{\mu\nu}/(k^2 - \xi m^2))$$

where ξ is the gauge fixing parameter. To be complete, the free propagator of a massive scalar field is $D = i/(k^2 - m^2)$ while the propagator of a Goldstone field is $D = i/(k^2 - \xi m^2)$.

We will note G_{ij} the complete two point Green function and P_{ij} the one particle irreducible (1PI) two point Green function, where i and j are field indices:

$$G_{ij} = \langle 0 | i(x) j(y) | 0 \rangle .$$

In our definition of the 1PI two point Green functions, the tadpoles are allowed since we cope with expressions before renormalization. We can now introduce the transverse and longitudinal parts of these Green functions (in momentum space), where M is a totally arbitrary mass dimensioned parameter:

$$G_{ij}^{\mu\nu} = -i(G_{ij}^T T^{\mu\nu} + G_{ij}^L L^{\mu\nu}) ; \quad P_{ij}^{\mu\nu} = +i(P_{ij}^T T^{\mu\nu} + P_{ij}^L L^{\mu\nu})$$

$$G_{ij}^\mu = -ik^\mu G_{ij}^L / M ; \quad P_{ij}^\mu = +ik^\mu P_{ij}^L / M$$

$$G_{ij} = -iG_{ij}^L ; \quad P_{ij} = +iP_{ij}^L$$

In the previous formulae , two Lorentz indices mean a vector-vector mixing, one Lorentz index means a vector-scalar mixing and no Lorentz index means a scalar-scalar mixing. With these formulae, the G functions (like the D functions) and P functions have respectively the canonical dimension -2 and + 2.

2.3 The Schwinger - Dyson equations

In pure QED the Schwinger -Dyson equation can be proved analytically, or diagrammatically by “sticking” the product PD to the geometrical expansion of G in terms of D and P . In both cases one gets the well known result: $G = D + DPG$, whose diagrammatic form is:

$$\text{wavy line with solid circle} = \text{wavy line} + \text{wavy line with hatched circle} \times \text{wavy line with solid circle}$$

This pure QED equation can be easily extended when mixings arise. Using a matrix formalism, one readily finds the general equation $G = D + DPG$, where G , D and P are now matrices. If a free field propagator is generically painted as a solid line, and a complete (1PI) Green function is painted as

a black (grid) disk between two solide lines , then the pictorial form of this matrix equation is as follows:

$$\text{---} \bullet \text{---} = \text{---} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \text{---} \bigoplus \text{---} \bullet \text{---}$$

As it is possible to get vector-vector , vector-scalar and scalar-scalar mixings , we can display three equations , where v (s) is the set of all the possible vector (scalar) fields:

$$G_{V_i V_j}^{\mu\nu} = D_{V_i}^{\mu\nu} \delta_{ij} + \sum_{m \in v} D_{V_i}^{\mu\rho} P_{V_i V_m}^{\rho\sigma} G_{V_m V_j}^{\sigma\nu} + \sum_{n \in s} D_{V_i}^{\mu\rho} P_{V_i S_n}^{\rho} G_{S_n V_j}^{\nu};$$

$$G_{V_i S_l}^{\mu} = \sum_{m \in v} D_{V_i}^{\mu\rho} P_{V_i V_m}^{\rho\sigma} G_{V_m S_l}^{\sigma} + \sum_{n \in s} D_{V_i}^{\mu\rho} P_{V_i S_n}^{\rho} G_{S_n S_l};$$

$$G_{S_k S_l} = D_{S_k} \delta_{kl} + \sum_{m \in v} D_{S_k} P_{S_k V_m}^{\rho} G_{V_m S_l}^{\rho} + \sum_{n \in s} D_{S_k} P_{S_k S_n} G_{S_n S_l}.$$

Introducing the transverse and longitudinal Green functions, one obtains four coupled equations, one tranverse and three longitudinal:

$$G_{V_i V_j}^T = (\delta_{ij} + \sum_{m \in v} P_{V_i V_m}^T G_{V_m V_j}^T) / (k^2 - m_i^2) \quad (2.1)$$

$$G_{V_i V_j}^L = (\delta_{ij} + \sum_{m \in v} P_{V_i V_m}^L G_{V_m V_j}^L + k^2 \sum_{n \in s} P_{V_i S_n}^L G_{S_n V_j}^L / M^2) / (k^2 - \xi m_i^2) \quad (2.2)$$

$$G_{V_i S_l}^L = \xi (\sum_{m \in v} P_{V_i V_m}^L G_{V_m S_l}^L + \sum_{n \in s} P_{V_i S_n}^L G_{S_n S_l}^L) / (k^2 - \xi m_i^2) \quad (2.3)$$

$$G_{S_k S_l}^L = -(\delta_{kl} + k^2 \sum_{m \in v} P_{S_k V_m}^L G_{V_m S_l}^L / M^2 + \sum_{n \in s} P_{S_k S_n}^L G_{S_n S_l}^L) / (k^2 - m_k^2) \quad (2.4)$$

In the last equation, if S_k is a Goldstone boson, $k^2 - m_k^2$ must be changed in $k^2 - \xi m_k^2$. Of course, G and P are symmetric functions in their arguments. These four equations are the most general Schwinger -Dyson equations for mixings between spin zero and spin one particles. Their solutions give the complete two point Green functions G in terms of the 1PI Green functions P .

2.4 Ward identities.

Since the THDM is a gauge model, Green functions are not independent and they are constrained by the BRS symmetry, the quantum level symmetry that encompasses the gauge symmetry valid at the classical level. Using the BRS transformations, one gets identities between the complete Green functions G , which in turn give identities between the 1PI Green functions P , when solved the above Schwinger -Dyson equations.

First, one considers the following two point Green function :

$$\langle 0 | \eta_i^*(x) F_j(y) | 0 \rangle,$$

where F_j is the gauge fixing term associated to the gauge vector field V_j , and η_i is the Faddeev-Popov ghost associated to the gauge vector field V_i . By fermionic antisymmetry, this Green function is null, and so its BRS transform. Since the BRS transform of an anti-ghost η_i^* is the gauge fixing term F_i and the BRS transform of a gauge fixing term is proportional to the equation of motion of the corresponding anti-ghost, one gets^{2,3,5}

$$\langle 0 | F_i(x) F_j(y) | 0 \rangle = \delta_{ij} \delta(x - y) \quad (2.5)$$

This equation gives us the first set of Ward identities between the two point Green functions G . Since they only mix gauge vector fields and Goldstone fields, these identities are valid in the GSW model and in any model with a larger Higgs sector as well. To be precise we will use in this paper the definitions of Bohm et al.⁵ for the gauge vector fields and the gauge fixing terms, i.e.:

$$A_\mu = -\sin\theta_w W_\mu^3 + \cos\theta_w B_\mu; Z_\mu = \cos\theta_w W_\mu^3 + \sin\theta_w B_\mu;$$

$$W_\mu^\pm = (W_\mu^1 \mp iW_\mu^2)/\sqrt{2};$$

$$F_p = \partial_\mu A^\mu / \sqrt{\xi}; F_z = (\partial_\mu Z^\mu - \xi m_z G_0) / \sqrt{\xi};$$

$$F^\pm = (\partial^\mu W_\mu^\pm \mp i\xi m_w G^\pm) / \sqrt{\xi}.$$

A second set of Ward identities can be obtained with the BRS variation of the following Green function: $\langle 0|\eta_i^*(x)S_j(y)|0 \rangle$ when S_j is a Higgs scalar field. It is also a null function and its BRS variation reads:

$$\langle 0|F_i(x)S_j(y)|0 \rangle - \langle 0|\eta_i^*(x)\delta_{BRS}S_j(y)|0 \rangle = 0 \quad (2.6)$$

Such a formula cannot give simple relations between the G functions studied here, since the second term on the left side is a composite operator with an external ghost field. Because the fermionic ghost number is conserved, only this η_i^* can give a pole in the second term. Hence, multiplying by the inverse propagator of the scalar field S_j and putting it on its mass shell (both operations noticed “S.o.s”), the composite operator vanishes, and we just get identities between the G Green functions we consider here:

$$\langle 0|F_i(x)S_j(y)|0 \rangle_{S.o.s} = 0 \quad (2.7)$$

Moreover, when F_i is the photon or the Z gauge fixing term and S_j is the CP-odd neutral Higgs A_0 , equation (1.4) gives us an useful result at one loop order⁶. Indeed the BRS transform of the A_0 contains fields (scalars and F.P ghosts) in such a way that no tree level coupling exists with the η_γ and η_z ghosts . Hence at one loop order (“o.l.o” index) we get the following equations :

$$[k^2 G_{ph}^L]_{o.l.o} = \langle 0|\partial_\mu A^\mu(x)A_0(y)|0 \rangle_{o.l.o} = 0 \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$[k^2 G_{zh}^L + i\xi M m_z G_{gh}^L]_{o.l.o} = \langle 0|(\partial_\mu Z^\mu(x) - \xi m_z G_0(x))A_0(y)|0 \rangle_{o.l.o} = 0 \quad (2.9)$$

which are true independently of any mass or energy prescription.

It is to be noted that this second set of Ward identities (2.6), (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9) is of interest only for the extensions of the GSW model, as the THDM or MSSM, where the Higgs sector is rich enough to contains a neutral CP odd A_0 and a charged scalar $H^\pm$, together with correct quantum numbers. It is also to be stressed that the identities due to the BRS symmetry are not in general renormalization invariant; but the way we derive the identities (2.5), (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9) assures they can be preserved by renormalization, as we said in the introduction.

3 Charged fields

The charged gauge boson $W^\pm$ can be mixed with the Goldstone $G^\pm$ and the Higgs $H^\pm$, but only by its longitudinal part . For the transverse part , there is no mixing at all and the equation (2.1), which is very similar to the photon one in pure QED , reads , omitting the $\pm$ index :

$$G_{ww}^T = (1 + P_{ww}^T G_{ww}^T)/(k^2 - m_w^2) ;$$

the solution is obvious:

$$G_{ww}^T = 1/(k^2 - m_w^2 - P_{ww}^T).$$

Actually the interest is in the six coupled longitudinal equations coming from (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) where we also have discarded the L index :

$$G_{ww} = \xi[1 + P_{ww}G_{wg} + k^2(P_{wg}G_{gw} + P_{wh}G_{hw})/M^2]/(k^2 - \xi m_w^2) ;$$

$$G_{wg} = \xi[P_{ww}G_{wg} + P_{wg}G_{gg} + P_{wh}G_{hg}]/(k^2 - \xi m_w^2) ;$$

$$G_{wh} = \xi[P_{ww}G_{wh} + P_{wg}G_{gh} + P_{wh}G_{hh}]/(k^2 - \xi m_w^2) ;$$

$$G_{gg} = -[1 + k^2 P_{gw}G_{wg}/M^2 + P_{gh}G_{hg} + P_{gg}G_{gg}]/(k^2 - \xi m_w^2) ;$$

$$G_{gh} = -[k^2 P_{gw}G_{wh}/M^2 + P_{gg}G_{gh} + P_{gh}G_{hh}]/(k^2 - \xi m_w^2) ;$$

$$G_{hh} = -[1 + k^2 P_{hw}G_{wh}/M^2 + P_{hg}G_{gh} + P_{hh}G_{hh}]/(k^2 - m_h^2).$$

A solution of this linear system is rather easy to find with an analytic software but the solution suffers potentially large simplifications and it is highly preferable to “prepare” the system as follows :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & a_{14} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{11} & a_{23} & 0 & a_{25} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{12} & a_{33} & 0 & a_{35} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{11} & a_{23} & a_{25} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{12} & a_{33} & a_{35} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{14} & a_{35} & a_{66} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} G_{ww} \\ G_{wg} \\ G_{gg} \\ G_{wh} \\ G_{gh} \\ G_{hh} \end{pmatrix} = \xi \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with the notations :

$$a_{11} = k^2 - \xi m_w^2 - \xi P_{ww} ; \quad a_{12} = k^2 a_{23}/M^2 ; a_{14} = k^2 a_{25}/M^2 ;$$

$$a_{23} = -\xi P_{wg} ; a_{25} = -\xi P_{wh} ;$$

$$a_{33} = -(k^2 - \xi m_w^2 + P_{gg}) ; a_{35} = -\xi P_{gh} ;$$

$$a_{66} = -\xi(k^2 - m_h^2 + P_{hh}) .$$

Now it is easy to obtain the most compact solution of the longitudinal charged mixing:

$$G_{ww} = \xi M^2 [-P_{gh}^2 + (k^2 - \xi m_w^2 + P_{gg})(k^2 - m_h^2 + P_{hh})]/D ,$$

$$G_{wg} = \xi M^2 [P_{gh}P_{wh} - P_{wg}(k^2 - m_h^2 + P_{hh})]/D ,$$

$$G_{gg} = -[\xi k^2 P_{wh}^2 + M^2(k^2 - m_h^2 + P_{hh})(k^2 - \xi m_w^2 - \xi P_{ww})]/D ,$$

$$G_{wh} = \xi M^2 [P_{gh}P_{wg} - P_{wh}(k^2 - \xi m_w^2 - \xi P_{ww})]/D ,$$

$$G_{gh} = [\xi k^2 P_{wg}P_{wh} + M^2 P_{gh}(k^2 - \xi m_w^2 - \xi P_{ww})]/D ,$$

$$G_{hh} = -[\xi k^2 P_{wg}^2 + M^2(k^2 - \xi m_w^2 + P_{gg})(k^2 - \xi m_w^2 - \xi P_{ww})]/D , \quad (3.1)$$

where the denominator D is :

$$\begin{aligned} D = & M^2 P_{gh}^2 (k^2 - \xi m_w^2 - \xi P_{ww}) \\ & + \xi k^2 [P_{wg}^2 (k^2 - m_h^2 + P_{hh}) + P_{wh}^2 (k^2 - \xi m_w^2 + P_{gg}) - 2P_{gh}P_{wg}P_{wh}] \end{aligned}$$

$$+ M^2(k^2 - \xi m_w^2 + P_{gg})(k^2 - m_h^2 + P_{hh})(k^2 - \xi m_w^2 - \xi P_{ww}). \quad (3.2)$$

At one loop order, it remains :

$$G_{ww} = \xi(k^2 - \xi m_w^2 + \xi P_{ww})/(k^2 - \xi m_w^2)^2,$$

$$G_{wg} = -\xi P_{wg}/(k^2 - \xi m_w^2)^2,$$

$$G_{gg} = -(k^2 - \xi m_w^2 - P_{gg})/(k^2 - \xi m_w^2)^2,$$

$$G_{wh} = -\xi P_{wh}/[(k^2 - m_h^2)(k^2 - \xi m_w^2)],$$

$$G_{gh} = P_{gh}/[(k^2 - m_h^2)(k^2 - \xi m_w^2)],$$

$$G_{hh} = -(k^2 - m_h^2 - P_{hh})/(k^2 - m_h^2)^2. \quad (3.3)$$

As a consequence of this one loop result, we see that the first three Green functions G_{ww} , G_{wg} and G_{gg} become independent of P_{gh} , P_{wh} and P_{hh} . Therefore, within the GSW model, these three longitudinal Green functions (at one loop order) have exactly the same form as we have got.

The relevant Ward identity (2.5) in the charged sector is:

$$< 0|F^\pm(x)F^\pm(y)|0 > = \delta_{\pm\pm}\delta(x-y)$$

and reads in the momentum space :

$$k^2 G_{ww} \pm 2\xi m_w k^2 G_{wg}/M + \xi^2 m_w^2 G_{gg} = \xi \quad (3.4)$$

the $\pm$ sign depending on the field $W^\pm$. When we replace in this equation the above solutions, we get the following constraint between the various P Green functions:

$$2k^2 P_{gh} P_{wg} P_{wh} - k^2 P_{gg} P_{wh}^2 - (M m_w P_{gh} \mp k^2 P_{wh})^2 - M^2 P_{ww} P_{gh}^2$$

$$+ (k^2 - m_h^2 + P_{hh})[M^2(k^2 + P_{gg})(m_w^2 + P_{ww}) - k^2(P_{wg} \pm Mm_w)] = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

It is possible to simplify the denominator in the solutions of the previous system thanks to the Ward identity; then we get the following formula :

$$D = -k^2(MP_{gh} \mp \xi m_w P_{wh})^2$$

$$+ M(k^2 - m_h^2 + P_{hh})(M(k^2 - \xi m_w^2)^2 + Mk^2 P_{gg} \mp 2\xi k^2 m_w P_{wg} + \xi^2 Mm_w^2 P_{ww}).$$

Of course the Ward identity (3.4) will be automatically fulfilled if one uses such a denominator.

Again , giving up the scalar Higgs $H^\pm$ as in the GSW model , the Ward identity (3.5) is simpler and reads:

$$k^2(Mm_w \pm P_{wg})^2 = M^2(k^2 + P_{gg})(m_w^2 + P_{ww}) \quad (3.6)$$

This equation is quite similar to the equation 3.12 in ². It is worth noting this equation is almost what we get from the full equation at one loop order, since we just have to discard P^2 terms to end with:

$$Mm_w^2 P_{gg} \mp 2k^2 m_w P_{wg} + k^2 M P_{gg} = 0 \quad (3.7)$$

So this constraint on the self-energies is at one loop order the same in both models, GSW or THDM. In other words , at one loop order, the charged Higgs $H^\pm$ decouples in this constraint, which could be expected from the one loop solution of the system (formulae (3.3)).

The second constraint we have in the charged sector follows directly from the equation (2.6) and we get the relation between longitudinal self -energies, with an on shell Higgs field:

$$M(m_h^2 - \xi m_w^2)(m_h^2 P_{wh} \pm Mm_w P_{gh}) +$$

$$MP_{gh}(\xi Mm_w P_{ww} \mp m_h^2 P_{wg}) + m_h^2 P_{wh}(\xi m_w P_{wg} \mp MP_{gg}) = 0.$$

At one loop order the previous equations obviously reads⁷ :

$$m_h^2 P_{wh} \pm Mm_w P_{gh} = 0$$

4 Neutral fields

Likewise the third section , we begin by the transverse neutral case where the mixing between the photon and the neutral boson Z appears; we have three coupled equations (2.1), omitting the T label:

$$G_{pp} = (1 + P_{pp}G_{pp} + P_{pz}G_{pz})/k^2;$$

$$G_{pz} = (P_{pp}G_{pz} + P_{pz}G_{zz})/k^2;$$

$$G_{zz} = (1 + P_{pz}G_{pz} + P_{zz}G_{zz})/(k^2 - m_z^2).$$

The solutions are ;

$$G_{pp} = (k^2 - m_z^2 - P_{zz})/[(k^2 - P_{pp})(k^2 - m_z^2 - P_{zz}) - P_{pz}^2];$$

$$G_{pz} = P_{pz}/[(k^2 - P_{pp})(k^2 - m_z^2 - P_{zz}) - P_{pz}^2];$$

$$G_{zz} = (k^2 - P_{pp})/[(k^2 - P_{pp})(k^2 - m_z^2 - P_{zz}) - P_{pz}^2].$$

The really involved part is the longitudinal case where we have to deal with ten coupled equations ; indeed we have to take into account the photon , the neutral gauge vector boson Z, the Goldstone scalar G_0 and the CP odd scalar Higgs A_0 , so we get 10 (= 4+3+2+1) possible G and P Green functions. To be short, we will not fully write these equations like in the third section , since they are easy to derive from the general Schwinger - Dyson relations (2.2).

These complete longitudinal Green functions (omitting now the L label) verify the three following Ward identities derived from equation (2.5) :

$$k^2 G_{pp} = \xi \tag{4.1}$$

$$MG_{pz} = i\xi m_z G_{pg} \tag{4.2}$$

$$k^2 MG_{zz} - 2i\xi m_z k^2 G_{zg} + \xi^2 m_z^2 MG_{gg} = \xi M \tag{4.3}$$

and we will solve our problem using these constraints. If one try to solve this 10x10 system by brute force one gets a huge result. Once again, we prefer to solve it in the following compact form :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & a_{14} & 0 & 0 & a_{17} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & a_{14} & 0 & 0 & a_{17} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{12} & a_{33} & 0 & a_{35} & 0 & 0 & a_{38} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{46} & 0 & 0 & a_{49} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{12} & a_{33} & a_{56} & 0 & 0 & a_{59} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{14} & a_{35} & a_{66} & 0 & 0 & a_{69} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{46} & a_{49} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{12} & a_{33} & a_{56} & a_{59} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{14} & a_{35} & a_{66} & a_{69} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{17} & a_{38} & a_{69} & a_{00} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} G_{pp} \\ G_{pz} \\ G_{zz} \\ G_{pg} \\ G_{zg} \\ G_{gg} \\ G_{ph} \\ G_{zh} \\ G_{gh} \\ G_{hh} \end{pmatrix} = \xi \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the coefficients a_{ij} read as follows:

$$a_{14} = k^2 a_{46}/M^2; a_{17} = k^2 a_{49}/M^2; a_{35} = k^2 a_{56}/M^2; a_{38} = k^2 a_{59}/M^2;$$

$$a_{11} = k^2 - \xi P_{pp}; a_{12} = -\xi P_{pz}; a_{33} = k^2 - \xi m_z^2 - \xi P_{zz};$$

$$a_{46} = -\xi P_{pg}; a_{49} = -\xi P_{ph}; a_{56} = -\xi P_{zg}; a_{59} = -\xi P_{zh};$$

$$a_{66} = -\xi(k^2 - \xi m_z^2 + P_{gg}); a_{69} = -\xi P_{gh}; a_{00} = -\xi(k^2 - m_h^2 + P_{hh}).$$

Since we have to deal with a 10x10 matrix, we naively expect that the determinant is a polynomial of degree 10 in a_{ij} , but if we smartly perform the derivation , we get solutions as:

$$G_{ij} = N_{ij}/\delta,$$

where N_{ij} are polynomials of degree 3 while δ is a polynomial of degree 4, which is much better . Now using the first constraint , i.e. the photon Ward identity (4.1) , $N_{pp}k^2 = \xi\delta$, we can replace δ by a polynomial of degree 3. Then we obtain solutions such that $G_{pp} = \xi/k^2$ and the other functions $G_{ij} = n_{ij}/k^2 d$, where now d and n_{ij} are both polynomials of third degree . Of course we still have to rewrite everything in terms of the P_{ij} functions to end up with the final result:

$$G_{pz} = -\xi^2[M^2 P_{pz}(k^2 - \xi m_z^2 + P_{gg})(k^2 - m_h^2 + P_{hh}) - k^2 P_{pg} P_{zg}(k^2 - m_h^2 + P_{hh}) +$$

$$k^2 P_{gh}(P_{ph}P_{zg} + P_{pg}P_{zh}) - k^2 P_{ph}P_{zh}(k^2 - \xi m_z^2 + P_{gg}) - M^2 P_{gh}^2 P_{pz}]/k^2 d.$$

$$G_{zz} = -\xi[\xi k^2 P_{pg}^2(k^2 - m_h^2 + P_{hh}) - \xi k^2(2P_{gh}P_{pg}P_{ph} - P_{ph}^2(k^2 - \xi m_z^2 + P_{gg})) -$$

$$M^2(k^2 - \xi P_{pp})(P_{gh}^2 - (k^2 - m_h^2 + P_{hh})(k^2 - \xi m_z^2 + P_{gg}))]/k^2 d.$$

$$G_{pg} = \xi[\xi M^2 P_{pz}(P_{zg}(k^2 - m_h^2 + P_{hh}) - P_{gh}P_{zh}) - \xi k^2(P_{ph}P_{zg}P_{zh} - P_{pg}P_{zh}^2) -$$

$$M^2(k^2 - \xi m_z^2 - \xi P_{zz})(P_{gh}P_{ph} - P_{pg}(k^2 - m_h^2 + P_{hh}))]/k^2 d.$$

$$G_{zg} = -\xi[\xi M^2 P_{pz}(P_{gh}P_{ph} - (k^2 - m_h^2 + P_{hh})P_{pg} - \xi k^2 P_{ph}(P_{ph}P_{zg} - P_{pg}P_{zh}) +$$

$$M^2(k^2 - \xi P_{pp})(P_{gh}P_{zh} - P_{zg}(k^2 - m_h^2 + P_{hh}))]/k^2 d.$$

$$G_{gg} = [\xi^2 P_{pz}(2k^2 P_{ph}P_{zh} - M^2 P_{pz}(k^2 - m_h^2 + P_{hh})) +$$

$$\xi k^2(P_{zh}^2(k^2 - \xi P_{pp}) + P_{ph}^2(k^2 - \xi m_z^2 - \xi P_{zz})) +$$

$$M^2(k^2 - \xi P_{pp})(k^2 - m_h^2 + P_{hh})(k^2 - \xi m_z^2 - \xi P_{zz})]/k^2 d.$$

$$G_{ph} = \xi[\xi P_{zg}(k^2(P_{ph}P_{zg} - P_{pg}P_{zh}) - M^2 P_{gh}P_{pz}) + \xi M^2 P_{pz}P_{zh}(k^2 - \xi m_z^2 + P_{gg}) +$$

$$M^2(k^2 - \xi m_z^2 - \xi P_{zz})(P_{ph}(k^2 - \xi m_z^2 + P_{gg}) - P_{gh}P_{pg})]/k^2 d.$$

$$G_{zh} = \xi[\xi P_{pg}(k^2(P_{pg}P_{zh} - P_{ph}P_{zg}) - M^2 P_{gh}P_{pz}) + \xi M^2 P_{ph}P_{pz}(k^2 - \xi m_z^2 + P_{gg}) -$$

$$M^2(k^2 - \xi P_{pp})(P_{gh}P_{zg} - P_{zh}(k^2 - \xi m_z^2 + P_{gg}))/k^2 d.$$

$$G_{gh} = [\xi^2(M^2 P_{gh} P_{pz}^2 - k^2 P_{pz}(P_{ph}P_{zg} + P_{pg}P_{zh})) - \xi k^2(P_{zg}P_{zh}(k^2 - \xi P_{pp}) + P_{pg}P_{ph}(k^2 - \xi m_z^2 - \xi P_{zz})) - M^2 P_{gh}(k^2 - \xi P_{pp})(k^2 - \xi m_z^2 - \xi P_{zz})]/k^2 d.$$

$$G_{hh} = [\xi^2 P_{pz}(2k^2 P_{pg}P_{zg} - M^2 P_{pz}(k^2 - \xi m_z^2 + P_{gg})) + \xi k^2(P_{zg}^2(k^2 - \xi P_{pp}) + P_{pg}^2(k^2 - \xi m_z^2 - \xi P_{zz})) + M^2(k^2 - \xi P_{pp})(k^2 - \xi m_z^2 + P_{gg})(k^2 - \xi m_z^2 - \xi P_{zz})]/k^2 d.$$

The denominator is :

$$d = \xi k^2[2P_{gh}P_{zg}P_{zh} - P_{zg}^2(k^2 - m_h^2 + P_{hh}) - P_{zh}^2(k^2 - \xi m_z^2 + P_{gg})] +$$

$$M^2(k^2 - \xi m_z^2 - \xi P_{zz})(P_{gh}^2 - (k^2 - m_h^2 + P_{hh})(k^2 - \xi m_z^2 + P_{gg})).$$

The two other constraints due to the two last Ward identities give two cubic polynomial equations. The first one (4.2) is quite simple and reads: $Mn_{pz} - i\xi m_z n_{pg} = 0$. In terms of the a_{ij} , we get :

$$M(k^2(a_{46}(a_{59}a_{69} - a_{00}a_{56}) + a_{49}(a_{56}a_{69} - a_{59}a_{66}) +$$

$$M^2 a_{12}(a_{00}a_{66} - a_{69}^2)) + i\xi m_z[k^2 a_{59}(a_{46}a_{59} - a_{49}a_{56}) +$$

$$M^2(a_{00}(a_{12}a_{56} - a_{33}a_{46}) + a_{69}(a_{33}a_{49} - a_{12}a_{59}))] = 0 \quad (4.4)$$

while in terms of self-energies we obtain :

$$-M^2(k^2 - m_h^2)(k^2 - \xi m_z^2)(MP_{pz} + im_z P_{pg}) -$$

$$M[MP_{pz}((MP_{gg} + i\xi m_z P_{zg})(k^2 - m_h^2) + MP_{hh}(k^2 - \xi m_z^2)) -$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (k^2 - \xi m_z^2)P_{ph}(k^2 P_{zh} + iMm_z P_{gh}) - \\
& P_{pg}(iMm_z(P_{hh}(k^2 - \xi m_z^2) - \xi P_{zz}(k^2 - m_h^2)) + k^2 P_{zg}(k^2 - m_h^2))] + \\
& M^2 P_{pz}(P_{gh}(MP_{gh} + i\xi m_z P_{zh}) - P_{hh}(MP_{gg} + i\xi m_z P_{zg})) + \\
& P_{ph}(k^2 P_{zh}(MP_{gg} + i\xi m_z P_{zg}) - MP_{gh}(k^2 P_{zg} - i\xi Mm_z P_{zz})) + \\
& P_{pg}(MP_{hh}(k^2 P_{zg} + i\xi Mm_z P_{zz}) - k^2 P_{zh}(MP_{gh} + i\xi m_z P_{zh})) = 0. \quad (4.5)
\end{aligned}$$

For the third Ward identity (4.3), the result is not so simple as (4.2); in terms of a_{ij} , we find

$$\begin{aligned}
& Mk^4(a_{00}a_{46}^2 + a_{66}a_{49}^2 - 2a_{46}a_{49}a_{69}) + M^3k^2a_{11}(a_{69}^2 - a_{00}a_{66}) - \\
& Mk^2(k^2(a_{00}a_{56}^2 + a_{66}a_{59}^2 - 2a_{56}a_{59}a_{69}) + M^2a_{33}(a_{69}^2 - a_{00}a_{66})) + \\
& 2i\xi m_z k^2[k^2a_{49}(a_{49}a_{56} - a_{46}a_{59}) + \\
& M^2(a_{00}(a_{12}a_{46} - a_{11}a_{56}) + a_{69}(a_{11}a_{59} - a_{12}a_{49}))] + \\
& \xi^2 Mm_z^2 k^2(a_{33}a_{49}^2 - 2a_{12}a_{49}a_{59} + a_{11}a_{59}^2) + \\
& \xi^2 M^3 m_z^2 a_{00}(a_{12}^2 - a_{11}a_{33}) = 0. \quad (4.6)
\end{aligned}$$

We don't write it in terms of the P_{ij} Green functions since we can improve it thanks to the two other Ward identities (4.1 and 4.2). We briefly present the method. We first solve the equation (4.4), i.e. we find a_{ij} as functions of the other a_{kl} ; a_{59} and a_{69} are not suitable because they are irrational and

complex functions. Secondly , we substitute these a_{ij} in the equation (4.1) to get 7 equations , more or less complicated , and not independent. After simplifications by factors proportional to the determinant (so different from zero), only the simplest equations are interesting; they are due to a_{00} , a_{33} , a_{56} and a_{66} . It turns out that the first of them , written in functions of the various P functions , gives only quadratic and cubic terms in P , which is not really convenient for an eventual loop expansion. Among the three other possibilities , the best choice we can do is due to a_{56} for two reasons: it gives the most compact form and at one loop order it is independent of the other results. Now we just have to combine the result given by the equation (4.6) with the null identity just derived to get in terms of the P functions:

$$\begin{aligned}
& -M^2(k^2 - m_h^2)(Mm_z^2P_{gg} + 2ik^2m_zP_{zg} + Mk^2P_{zz}) \\
& M(M^2m_z^2P_{gh}^2 + k^2(k^2 - m_h^2)P_{zg}^2 - iMm_zP_{hh}(2k^2P_{zg} - iMm_zP_{gg}) + \\
& 2i\xi Mm_z(k^2 - m_h^2)(P_{pp}P_{zg} - P_{pg}P_{pz} + 2ik^2Mm_zP_{gh}P_{zh} + k^4P_{zh}^2 - \\
& M^2((k^2 - m_h^2)P_{gg} + k^2P_{hh})P_{zz}) - 2i\xi m_z(k^2P_{ph}(P_{ph}P_{zg} - P_{pg}P_{zh}) + \\
& M^2(P_{hh}(P_{pg}P_{pz} - P_{pp}P_{zg}) + P_{gh}(P_{pp}P_{zh} - P_{ph}P_{pz}))) + \\
& M(k^2(P_{zh}(P_{gg}P_{zh} - P_{gh}P_{zg}) + P_{zg}(P_{hh}P_{zg} - P_{gh}P_{zh}))) + \\
& M^2P_{zz}(P_{gh}^2 - P_{gg}P_{hh})) = 0. \tag{4.7}
\end{aligned}$$

The last relations between the P Green functions come from the equation (2.7) ; from the relation concerning the photon , one easily gets:

$$\begin{aligned}
& M^2(m_h^2 - \xi m_z^2)[(m_h^2 - \xi m_z^2)P_{ph} + P_{gg}P_{ph} - P_{gh}P_{pg} + \xi(P_{pz}P_{zh} - P_{ph}P_{zz})] \\
& + \xi[M^2(P_{gh}(P_{pg}P_{zz} - P_{pz}P_{zg}) + P_{gg}(P_{pz}P_{zh} - P_{ph}P_{zz}))
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ m_h^2 P_{zg}(P_{ph}P_{zg} - P_{pg}P_{zh})] = 0 \quad (4.8)$$

and from the relation with the Z boson, one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned} & M^2 m_h^2 (m_h^2 - \xi m_z^2) (m_h^2 P_{zh} - i M m_z P_{gh}) + \\ & M [\xi (m_h^2 - \xi m_z^2) (m_h^2 P_{ph} (M P_{pz} - i m_z P_{pg}) - M P_{pp} (m_h^2 P_{zh} - i M m_z P_{gh})) \\ & + m_h^2 (m_h^2 (M P_{gg} - i \xi m_z P_{zg}) P_{zh} - M P_{gh} (m_h^2 P_{zg} - i \xi M m_z P_{zz}))] \\ & + \xi [m_h^2 P_{zh} (P_{pg} (m_h^2 P_{pg} - i \xi M m_z P_{pz}) - M P_{pp} (M P_{gg} - i \xi m_z P_{zg})) \\ & + M^2 P_{gh} (P_{pz} (i \xi M m_z P_{pz} - m_h^2 P_{gg}) + P_{pp} (m_h^2 P_{zg} - i \xi M m_z P_{zz})) \\ & + m_h^2 P_{ph} (P_{pz} (M^2 P_{gg} - i \xi M m_z P_{zg}) - P_{pg} (m_h^2 P_{zg} - i \xi M m_z P_{zz}))] = 0 \quad (4.9) \end{aligned}$$

both equations at the energy of the A_0 Higgs mass. In the Landau gauge ($\xi = 0$ with our notations), the two previous constraints turn out to be very simple without cubic terms in P_{ij} and just reduce in :

$$P_{ph}(m_h^2 + P_{gg}) = P_{gh}P_{pg},$$

and

$$P_{zh}(m_h^2 + P_{gg}) = P_{gh}(P_{zg} - i M m_z).$$

The first order expansion of all these results (solutions and constraints) are easy to derive. The solutions of the linear system become :

$$G_{pp} = \xi/k^2 ,$$

$$G_{pz} = \xi^2 P_{pz} / (k^2 (k^2 - \xi m_z^2)) ,$$

$$G_{zz} = \xi (k^2 - \xi m_z^2 + \xi P_{zz}) / (k^2 - \xi m_z^2)^2 ,$$

$$G_{pg} = -\xi P_{pg}/(k^2(k^2 - \xi m_z^2)) ,$$

$$G_{zg} = -\xi P_{zg}/(k^2 - \xi m_z^2)^2 ,$$

$$G_{gg} = (-k^2 + \xi m_z^2 + P_{gg})/(k^2 - m_z^2)^2 ,$$

$$G_{ph} = -\xi P_{ph}/(k^2(k^2 - m_h^2)) ,$$

$$G_{zh} = -\xi P_{zh}/((k^2 - m_h^2)(k^2 - \xi m_z^2)) ,$$

$$G_{gh} = P_{gh}/((k^2 - m_h^2)(k^2 - \xi m_z^2)) ,$$

$$G_{hh} = (-k^2 + m_h^2 + P_{hh})/(k^2 - m_h^2)^2 ,$$

while the constraints derived from equations 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 are simplified as follows² :

$$P_{pp} = 0$$

$$MP_{pz} + im_z P_{pg} = 0$$

$$Mm_z^2 P_{gg} + 2ik^2 m_z P_{zg} + k^2 MP_{zz} = 0.$$

At last, the constraints 2.8 and 2.9 read (without any energy prescription as we have proved in section 1-4):

$$P_{ph} = 0,$$

and

$$k^2 P_{zh} + im_z MP_{gh} = 0.$$

The most striking difference between general and one loop results is $P_{pp} = 0$, which means the self -energy of the photon is only transverse at one loop order. The second point to be stressed is a general feature: everything is mixed beyond one loop, the CP odd Higgs included.

5 Conclusion

In this study we have derived in a very general way the Schwinger - Dyson equations for mixings between scalar and vector fields in $SU(2) * U(1)$ gauge models like the SM or the MSSM. We have found the solutions of these equations and given some constraints induced by the BRS symmetry they have to verify . Although this study is rather technical, it can be interesting for several reasons. For example, many authors use the perturbation theory at more than one loop and can find useful informations in our work. This is also true when one uses renormalization group methods to improve first order results. An another interest one can find in this paper is to check codes used to generate Feynman diagrams and to calculate amplitudes. Indeed, since we only have considered two point functions, all the formulae we have derived at one loop order can be written in terms of B0 Veltman functions, favouring analytic verifications.

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to members of the LPMT for very fruitful discussions.

References

- 1 G. Altarelli, R. Barbieri and F. Caravaglios, CERN-TH 97-290, hep-ph / 9712368.
- 2 L. Baulieu and R. Coquereaux , Annals. Phys.140:163,1982 ; See also: K. Aoki, Z. Hioki, R. Kawabe, M.Konuma and T.Muta., suppl. Prog. Theor.Phys., No 73,1982.
- 3 J.C. Collins, Renormalization, Cambridge University Press,1984 ; R. Santos and A. Barroso, Phys. Rev. D 56, 5366 (1997).
- 4 J.F.Gunion, H.E.Haber, G.L.Kane and S.Dawson, The Higgs hunter's guide, Addison - Wesley, Redwood City,1990.
- 5 M. Bohm, W.Hollik and H.Spiesberger, Fortschr.Phys.34 (1986) 687.
- 6 A. Dabelstein, Z.Phys.C67:495-512,1995.
- 7 J.A. Coarasa, D. Garcia, J. Guasch, R.A. Jimenez and J. Sola, UAB-FT -397. hep-ph / 9607485.